

Brent Civic Centre Engineers Way Wembley Middlesex HA9 0FJ

TEL 020 8937 5303

EMAIL esther.chan@brent.gov.uk
WEB www.brent.gov.uk

Ms Sharon Mullarky 30A Dartmourth Road London NW2 4EX

13th July 2018

Case Reference: 12332

Licensing Representation to the Application to Review the Premises Licence for The Field, 249 Neasden Lane, London, NW10 1QG

I certify that I have considered the application shown above and I wish to make representations that the likely effect of the review of the application is detrimental to the Licensing Objectives for the reasons indicated below.

Licensing Inspector: Esther Chan

An officer of the Licensing Authority in whose area the premises are situated, who is authroised for the purposes of exercising its statutory function as a 'Responsible Authority' under the Licensing Act 2003.

The application has been made to review the premises licence under section 51 of the Act.

Licensing Inspector's Comments:

The Licensing Authority is making this representation in support of Brent Police Licensing Department in relation of the review of the premise licence for The Field.

We believe that this review is necessary given the way the premise is being managed and would invite the Licensing Committee to take the steps it deems appropriate and proportionate to promote the licensing objectives.

Meeting

On Friday 1st June 2018, I attended a meeting at Brent Civic Centre with Licensing Police Officers PC Michael Sullivan, PC Gary Norton and the licence holder and DPS, Ms Aleta Mary Dollin to discuss incidents concerning the owner Mr Timothy Sheahan being at the venue. It become apparent that a number of conditions imposed by committee at the hearing on 6th September





2017 based on a review application made by the Police had been breached, namely the following conditions:

- Mr Timothy Sheahan shall be prohibited from having any involvement in the running of this licensed premises.
- Mr Timothy Sheahan shall be excluded from attending the premises, save that he may attend by giving written notice to the Police not less than 24 hours before his intended visit, giving the reasons and the date and time for his visit.

During our meeting, PC Sullivan mentioned an assualt that had taken place at the premise in April 2018, which involved Mr Sheahan attacking a male causing injuries.

Miss Dollin stated that on the night, she was not available to work at the venue and a member of staff had called Mr Sheahan to the premise.

PC Sullivan stressed out the reasons why the above conditions were imposed on the premise licence by committee at the last hearing due to Mr Sheahan's irresponsible behaviour at running various venues resulting in serious assaults and incidents.

Miss Dollin agreed with the breaches identified by the Police, but believed she has improved the type of clientele visiting the premise. She does not foresee the business continuing for much longer.

Summary

To summarise, the Licensing Authority makes representation against this application as we are not confident that the Licence Holders and DPS have a full understanding of the requirements under the Licensing Act.

It is evident that Licensing Police Officers have taken time and exhausted every avenue to help the licence holders uphold the licensing objectives.

The Licensing Authority agree with the Licensing Police that revoking the premise licence is the only option.

Yours faithfully,

Ehen

Esther Chan
Licensing Inspector
Planning, Transportation & Licensing



